

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/051,666	01/18/2002	Scott P. Crafton	C152 1150	1166	
7590 06/15/2004			EXAMINER		
WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE			KASTLER,	KASTLER, SCOTT R	
P.O. Box 7037 Atlanta, GA 3	30357-0037		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		1742		

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
0.00	10/051,66	6	CRAFTON ET AL	••
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Scott Kas	tler	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this community Period for Reply	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for repl Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve munication. 30) days, a reply within the statu tatutory period will apply and wil y will, by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this cool (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) fil This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract 	2b) This action is not for allowance except to	or formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 23-31</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 23-31</u> is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from con			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 January 2 Applicant may not request that any objected the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	2 <u>002</u> is/are: a)⊠ acce ection to the drawing(s) be g the correction is require	e held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	documents have been documents have been of the priority documen anal Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicationts have been received 17.2(a)).	n No d in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e´.)-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary		of Paper No./Mail Da	ate 20040614

Application/Control Number: 10/051,666

Art Unit: 1742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Pollkoetter.

Pollkoetter teaches a metal processing facility including a pouring station (11), a heat treatment unit (see col. 4 lines 33-40 for example, where a heat treatment station is located at section 57), and an intermediate transfer system including a heat source (54) including heating elements, for maintaining the castings at a "process temperature" as well as a mechanized arm (55) for transferring the castings from the pouring station to the heat treatment station, thereby showing all aspects of the above claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-10 and 23-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pollkoetter in view of the ASM Handbook, Vol. 4. As applied to claims 1-3 above, Pollkoetter shows all aspects of the above claims except the use of any particular heat treatment furnace type or arrangement, or the use of a "process temperature control chamber" for adjusting the

Application/Control Number: 10/051,666

Art Unit: 1742

temperature of the castings prior to entry into the heat treating furnace, although Pollkoetter allows for the use of any desired heat treatment furnace type, including those with pre-heating chambers ("process temperature control chambers"). The ASM handbook, Vol. 4 pages 465-474, teaches that at the time the invention was made, heat treatment furnaces meeting all requirements of the instant claims, including the use of heat treatment baskets for holding the castings (see fig. 4 on page 467 for example), pre-heating chambers (which meet the definition of "process temperature control chambers", see Fig. 9 page 470 for example) as well as the use of any of radiant heating means, burners or convection heaters (see pages 471-473 for example). Applicant has not yet shown any new or unexpected results arising from the use of any particular heat treating furnace arrangement as long as the arrangement is sufficient to heat treat the castings. Because Pollkoetter requires the use of some unspecified type of heat treating furnace, motivation to employ any of the numerous known equivalent heat treating furnace arrangements disclosed by the ASM Handbook, Vol.4, including those with preheating, or process temperature control chambers, would have been a modification obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 3-10-2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that the heat source (54) of Pollkoetter does not function in the same manner as the heating furnace of the instant claims is not persuasive because all of the instantly rejected claims are apparatus claims, and the manner or method of use of an apparatus (in the instant case the operation of the heater (54) of Pollkoetter at any specific temperature)

Application/Control Number: 10/051,666

Art Unit: 1742

cannot be relied upon to fairly further distinguish claims to the apparatus itself. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 and MPEP 2114. Therefore, as described in the above rejections, since Pollkoetter teaches a pouring station (11), a heat treatment unit (at 56 as described at col. 4 lines 33-40) a transfer system and a heat source (54) all of which would be capable of performing the instantly recited functions, even though these functions may not be specifically described by Pollkoetter, Pollkoetter fairly shows or fairly suggests all aspects of the above claims.

Applicant's further argument that the ASM article, describing heat treating equipment is not properly combinable with the flow forming system of Pollkoetter is not persuasive because as stated in the above rejections, Pollkoetter specifically recites the use of a general heat treating (for solution treating) furnace, and as stated in the above rejection, employment of known heat treating furnace arrangements as taught by the ASM article as the heat treating furnace required by Pollkoetter would have been a modification obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1742

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

sk